

GUIDO SAVERI (22349)
 R. ALEXANDER SAVERI (173102)
 GEOFFREY C. RUSHING (126910)
 CADIO ZIRPOLI (179108)
 SAVERI & SAVERI INC.
 111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 217-6810
 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813
 guido@saveri.com
 rick@saveri.com

STEVE W. BERMAN (*pro hac vice*)
 ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO (*pro hac vice*)
 CRAIG R. SPIEGEL (122000)
 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
 Seattle, WA 98101
 Telephone: (206) 623-7292
 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH (*pro hac vice*)
 MARY JANE FAIT (*pro hac vice*)
 WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER,
 FREEMAN & HERZ
 270 Madison Avenue
 New York, NY 10016
 Telephone: (212) 545-4600
 Facsimile: (212) 545-4653

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS
 MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST
 LITIGATION

Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH
 MDL No. 1486

This Document Relates To:

All Direct Purchaser Actions

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING JOINT
 NOTICE TO CLASS REGARDING
 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
 ACTION SETTLEMENTS WITH THE MOSEL
 AND NANYA DEFENDANTS**

Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Date: May 16, 2007
 Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
 Courtroom: 3, 17th Floor

1 The Court, having preliminarily approved the three settlement agreements ("Settlements")
2 entered into between (i) plaintiffs and defendant Mosel Vitelic Corp. and defendant Mosel Vitelic, Inc.
3 (collectively "Mosel"); and (ii) plaintiffs and defendant Nanya Technology Corporation USA, ("NTC
4 USA") (collectively "Settling Defendants"), hereby **ORDERS**:

5 1. The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)
6 on July 25, 2007. The Fairness Hearing will be held to determine the following:

7 (a) Whether each of the proposed Settlements is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be
8 granted final approval by the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e);

9 (b) Whether final judgments should be entered dismissing the claims of the Class against the
10 Settling Defendants with prejudice as required by each of the Settlements; and

11 (c) Such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

12 2. By May 25 2007, Class Notice, substantially in the form attached hereto as
13 Exhibit "A" shall be sent by the Class Administrator via first class U.S. mail or email, postage
14 prepaid, to all members of the Class at their last known address and/or email address as reflected in the
15 records of Settling Defendants and the non-settling defendants. By May 25, 2007, summary
16 notice substantially in the form of Exhibit "B" hereto shall be published in the national edition of The
17 Wall Street Journal. Class Counsel shall also cause a copy of the Notice and the Settlements to be posted
18 on the Internet at a readily accessible website to be created and maintained by the Class Administrator.

19 3. The Court finds that this manner of giving notice fully satisfies the requirements of
20 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, constitutes the best notice practicable under
21 the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

22 4. Plaintiffs' Class Counsel shall file, not later than 14 days before the Fairness
23 Hearing, proof of compliance with paragraph 2 of this Order.

24 5. All Class Members shall upon final approval of the Settlements, be bound by all the
25 terms and provisions of the Settlements so approved, including but not limited to the releases, waivers,
26 and covenants described in the Settlements, whether or not such person or entity objected to the
27 Settlements and whether or not such person or entity made a claim upon the settlement funds.

28 6. As provided by the Class Notice, each Class Member shall have the right to object

1 to the Settlements by filing written objections with the Court not later than June 25, 2007, copies
2 of which shall be served on all counsel listed in the Class Notice.

3 7. All briefs, memoranda, and supporting papers in support of final approval of the
4 Settlements shall be filed not later than July 5, 2007.

5 8. All further class proceedings as to the Settling Defendants are hereby stayed
6 except for any actions required to effectuate the Settlements.

7 9. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over this action to consider all further
8 matters arising out of or connected with the Settlements.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11
12 Dated May 17, 2007

13
14
15 ram.643



EXHIBIT A

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7

8 In Re DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS
9 MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST
10 LITIGATION

Master File No: M-02-1486 PJH (JCS)

MDL No. 1486

11 **CLASS ACTION**

12 This Document Relates to:

13 **ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS**

14 To: ALL CLASS MEMBERS

15 **NOTICE OF PARTIAL CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS**

16 PLEASE READ THIS ENTIRE NOTICE CAREFULLY. IT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS,
17 INCLUDING YOUR RIGHT TO SHARE IN THREE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS
18 TOTALING TWENTY-TWO MILLION U.S. DOLLARS (\$22,000,000.00) IN CASH THAT
19 HAVE BEEN REACHED WITH CERTAIN DEFENDANTS TO PARTIALLY SETTLE THIS
20 ACTION.

21 This notice is given pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
22 Order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District
23 Court"). Pending in the District Court are class actions brought on behalf of direct purchasers of
24 DRAM. The class actions allege violations of the antitrust laws in connection with the sale of
25 DRAM. If you are a Class Member, your rights will be affected by three separate proposed
26 settlements with Defendants: 1) Mosel Vitelic Corp. and Mosel Vitelic, Inc. (collectively "Mosel");
27 and Nanya Technology Corporation USA ("NTC USA")(the "Proposed Settlements"). Mosel and
28 NTC USA are collectively referred to as the "Settling Defendants." This notice is being sent to

1 inform you of the three Proposed Settlements on behalf of the Class and of your rights with respect
2 thereto.

3 On June 5, 2006, the Court certified a litigation class and ordered that the action may
4 proceed as a class action. You were previously notified that settlements had been reached with
5 defendants Infineon Technologies AG and Infineon Technologies North America Corp; Samsung
6 Semiconductor, Inc.; and Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc., in
7 the total amount of \$160,750,000. The Court has granted final approval to those three settlements,
8 and they are now final. Also, prior settlements with Elpida Memory Inc., Elpida Memory USA,
9 NEC Electronics America, Inc., Winbond Electronics Corporation, Winbond Electronics
10 Corporation America and Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.,
11 through its Crucial Technology Division totaling \$143,247,000,000 have been granted final
12 approval and they are now final.

13 Pursuant to Orders of the District Court, the three additional Proposed Settlements
14 with Mosel and NTC USA have been provisionally approved by the Court. A hearing will be held
15 on _____, at _____, before the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, in
16 Courtroom 3, on the 17th Floor of the United States District Courthouse, at 450 Golden Gate
17 Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, to determine whether each of the Proposed Settlements is
18 fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and, therefore, whether this litigation should be
19 dismissed with prejudice against each of the Settling Defendants (the "Fairness Hearing"). The
20 time and date of the Fairness Hearing may be continued without further notice to the Class.

21 **I. THE CLASS**

22 The Class includes all individuals and entities who, during the period beginning
23 April 1, 1999 and continuing through June 30, 2002 (the "Class Period"), purchased DRAM in the
24 United States directly from the defendants or their subsidiaries, and who did not request exclusion
25 by October 3, 2006, settle or release their claims, and/or whose claims are not otherwise precluded
26 ("Class Members"). Excluded from the Class are defendants and their parents, subsidiaries,
27 affiliates, all governmental entities, and co-conspirators.

28 DRAM is defined to mean dynamic random access memory components, including

without limitation, synchronous dynamic random access memory (“SDRAM”), Rambus dynamic random access memory (“RDRAM”), asynchronous dynamic random access memory (“ASYN”), FPM DRAM, EDO DRAM, BEDO DRAM, and double data rate synchronous dynamic random access memory (“DDR”) semiconductor devices and modules.

IF YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THE CLASS, YOU NEED NOT TAKE ANY ACTION AT THIS TIME. YOUR RIGHTS AS A CLASS MEMBER WILL BE REPRESENTED BY THE PLAINTIFFS AND CLASS COUNSEL, AND YOU WILL BE ENTITLED, AT A LATER DATE, TO SUBMIT A CLAIM FORM TO SHARE IN THE PROCEEDS OF THE SETTLEMENTS.

II. THE CLASS ACTIONS

Class Plaintiffs Onshore, Inc.; Internet Integration, Inc.; Kevin Irwin d/b/a as Kevin’s Computer and Photo; PC Doctor, Inc.; Advanced Technology, Inc.; Network Business Solutions, Inc.; JEM Electronics Distributors, Inc.; Daniel Clement; Web Ideals, LLC; and 5207, Inc. (“Plaintiff Class Representatives”) have filed a class action lawsuit against defendants Micron Technology, Inc.; Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.; Crucial Technology, Inc.; Infineon Technologies AG; Infineon Technologies North America Corp.; Hynix Semiconductor, Inc.; Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc.; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.; Mosel-Vitec Corporation; Mosel-Vitec Corporation (USA); Nanya Technology Corporation; Nanya Technology Corporation USA; Winbond Electronics Corporation; Winbond Electronics Corporation America; Elpida Memory, Inc.; Elpida Memory (USA) Inc.; and NEC Electronics America, Inc. (“Defendants”).

The lawsuit alleges that, beginning at least as early as April 1, 1999 and continuing to June 30, 2002, the Defendants engaged in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of DRAM in the United States and/or to allocate among themselves major customers and accounts in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1. Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of the unlawful conspiracy, they and other members of the Class paid more for DRAM than they would have paid absent the alleged conspiracy. The Defendants deny all of Plaintiffs’ allegations and have asserted numerous affirmative defenses. Defendants Infineon

1 Technologies AG, Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd., Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., Elpida and
2 certain of their employees have pleaded guilty to criminal violations of the federal antitrust laws.

3 The District Court approved appointment of the Plaintiff Class Representatives and
4 Class Counsel to represent the interests of the Class, and ordered that this notice be provided to
5 members of the Class.

6 **THE DISTRICT COURT HAS NOT PASSED ON ANY OF THE CLAIMS OR**
7 **DEFENSES OF THE PARTIES. THIS NOTICE IS NOT AN EXPRESSION OF ANY**
8 **OPINION BY THE DISTRICT COURT AS TO THE MERITS OF ANY CLAIMS**
9 **OR DEFENSES ASSERTED BY THE PARTIES.**

10 **III. THE PARTIES' REASONS FOR SETTLEMENT**

11 As part of this litigation, Class Counsel has conducted extensive formal discovery
12 into the claims of the members of the Class and the defenses that might be asserted thereto. This
13 investigation has included discovery and analysis of millions of pages of Defendants' documents
14 and records, conducting more than one hundred (100) depositions of certain of Defendants' officers
15 and employees and third parties, and consultation with expert consultants, as well as extensive
16 analysis of relevant legal issues. Based on this investigation, Class Counsel believe that the
17 settlements are fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Class. Class Counsel
18 and Plaintiff Class Representatives also recognize the expense and length of continued proceedings
19 necessary to continue the litigation against the Defendants through verdict, judgment, and appeals,
20 and have taken into account the uncertainty and the risk of the outcome of continued litigation,
21 especially in complex actions such as these, and the difficulties and delays inherent in such actions.

22 Settling Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims and
23 contentions alleged by the Plaintiff Class Representatives. Settling Defendants have repeatedly
24 asserted and continue to assert many defenses thereto, and have expressly denied and continue to
25 deny any wrongdoing or legal liability arising out of any of the conduct alleged in the class action,
26 or that the Class has suffered any damage by reason of the alleged wrongdoing. Nevertheless,
27 Settling Defendants have concluded that the further conduct of this litigation against them would be
28 protracted and expensive and that settlement therefore is desirable. Settling Defendants also have

1 taken into account the uncertainty and the risk of the outcome in any litigation, especially complex
 2 cases such as this one. Settling Defendants have, therefore, determined that it is desirable and
 3 beneficial to them that the litigation be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set
 4 forth in the parties' settlement agreements.

5 **IV. THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS**

6 **THIS IS ONLY A SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS. THE**
 7 **SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS ARE ON FILE WITH THE DISTRICT COURT**
 8 **AND ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE AT: www.dramantitrustsettlement.com.**

9 The Proposed Settlements represent compromises of disputed claims. They do not
 10 mean that liability or damages would have been found against any of the Settling Defendants. The
 11 Settling Defendants continue to deny any and all wrongdoing or liability. Each of the Proposed
 12 Settlements is separate and independent from the other.

13 The total settlement with Mosel requires the payment of Fifteen Million U.S. Dollars
 14 (\$15,000,000) in cash, Nine Million U.S. Dollars (\$9,000,000) to be paid into an interest-bearing
 15 account within fifteen (15) business days from April 27, 2007, and Six Million U.S. Dollars
 16 (\$6,000,000) to be paid into an interest-bearing account on or before April 30, 2007. The settlement
 17 also provides that Mosel will contribute up to Two Hundred Fifty Thousand dollars (\$250,000)
 18 towards payment of the costs of notice to the Class and for administration costs.

19 The settlement with NTC USA requires the payment of Seven Million U.S. Dollars
 20 (\$7,000,000) in cash, to be paid into an interest-bearing account within ten (10) business days from
 21 April 27, 2007. The settlement also provides that up to \$400,000 of the settlement fund may be used
 22 towards payment of the costs of notice to the Class and for administration costs.

23 The Proposed Settlements also require the Settling Defendants to cooperate with
 24 Plaintiff Class Representatives in the ongoing prosecution of the litigation against the remaining
 25 Defendants.

26 If each of the Proposed Settlements is approved by the District Court and becomes
 27 effective, each member of the Class that did not timely and validly exclude itself from the Class
 28 (the "Releasers") shall have completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged the Settling

Defendants (and their officers, directors, employees, parents, subsidiaries, and other affiliated persons and entities) whose settlements are approved by the District Court from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature (whether or not any Releasor objects to the Proposed Settlements or makes a claim upon or participates in the settlement fund, whether directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity) that Releasors, or each of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have on account of, or in any way arising out of, any and all known and unknown, foreseen and unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof, in any way arising out of or resulting from conduct concerning the pricing, selling, discounting, marketing, or distributing of DRAM to the Class during the Class Period or during any other period whatsoever up to the date the Proposed Settlements were executed. However, the release shall not affect the rights of Class Members to: (i) pursue claims relative to any product defect, breach of contract, or similar claim against Settling Defendants; or (ii) pursue claims against Settling Defendants based on indirect purchases of DRAM or purchases of DRAM outside the United States.

The releases and dismissals of claims against Settling Defendants will have no effect upon any claims Class Members may have against the Defendants other than Settling Defendants.

V. YOUR SHARE OF THE SETTLEMENT

You do not need to do anything to remain in the Class, nor is there another opportunity to request exclusion from the Class. If the Proposed Settlements are approved by the District Court and become effective, Class Members will be able to share in the settlement proceeds after payment of attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest thereon, costs of the settlements, notice, and administration, and payment of incentive benefits to the Plaintiff Class Representatives if approved by the Court (the "Net Settlement Fund"). The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed at a later date, and therefore no claim forms are to be submitted at this time. Class Counsel are not requesting attorneys' fees at this time. At an appropriate time, Class Counsel will request attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 25% of the total settlement fund, plus interest, plus their expenses. The settlement payments received thus far have been, and additional payments will be, deposited into interest bearing accounts for the benefit of the Class.

At final approval, Class Counsel and Plaintiff Class Representatives will ask the Court to approve a plan of allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund on a pro rata basis among Class Members based on the dollar amount each Class Member paid to Defendants for direct purchases of DRAM from the Defendants during the period April 1, 1999 through June 30, 2002. If the Court approves the plan of allocation, you will be entitled to file a claim to share in the Net Settlement Fund.

You should retain all documents that substantiate your purchases of DRAM during the Class Period from each of the Defendants. If you change your address, or if this Notice was not mailed to your correct address, you should immediately provide your correct address to DRAM Antitrust Litigation c/o Rust Consulting, Inc., P.O. Box 24657, West Palm Beach, Florida 33416 (the "Class Administrator"). If Class Counsel does not have your correct address, you may not receive the claim form or other important documents in this litigation.

VI. RIGHT TO APPEAR AND OBJECT AT THE FAIRNESS HEARING

Any Class Member may appear and be heard regarding any of the matters to be heard by the District Court at the Fairness Hearing. You do not need to appear at the hearing; however, any member of the Class may object to the Proposed Settlements, but any such objections must be filed in writing with the Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, no later than _____, 2007, with copies served on the counsel identified below:

Class Counsel

GUIDO SAVERI
R. ALEXANDER SAVERI
SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111

ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL
SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101

FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH
WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER,
FREEMAN & HERZ
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016

Counsel for Settling Defendants

Stephen V. Bomse
HELLEREHRMAN LLP
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104-2878

Howard M. Ullman
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Defendants Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel
Vitelic Corp.

Defendant Nanya Technology Corporation USA

Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided herein waives any objections. The time and date of the Fairness Hearing may be continued from time to time.

VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

THIS NOTICE IS ONLY A SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS AND RELATED MATTERS. For more detailed information about this litigation, you are referred to the pleadings, the orders of the District Court, and other papers filed in the action which may be inspected at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, during regular business hours. In addition, the settlement agreements are available online at www.dramantitrustsettlement.com.

ALL INQUIRIES CONCERNING THIS NOTICE AND THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO PLAINTIFFS' CLASS COUNSEL OR THE CLASS ADMINISTRATOR AT THE ADDRESSES LISTED ABOVE. INQUIRIES SHOULD NOT BE DIRECTED TO COUNSEL FOR SETTLING DEFENDANTS, THE COURT, OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE.

Dated: _____, 2007

BY ORDER OF THE DISTRICT COURT
United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
San Francisco, CA

ram.778

EXHIBIT B

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9

10
11 In Re DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS
12 MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Master File No: M-02-1486 PJH (JCS)

MDL No. 1486

13 This Document Relates to:

CLASS ACTION

14 ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS
15
16

17 To: ALL CLASS MEMBERS

18 **SUMMARY NOTICE OF PARTIAL CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS**

19 Class Actions are pending in the above Court against certain manufacturers of DRAM. In
20 the Class Actions, plaintiffs allege that defendants unlawfully agreed to fix, raise, maintain, and
21 stabilize the prices of DRAM and/or to allocate among themselves major customers and accounts
22 in violation of the federal antitrust laws during the period April 1, 1999 through June 30, 2002.
23 Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of defendants' unlawful conduct, they and Class Members paid
24 more for DRAM than they would have in the absence of defendants' wrongful conduct.
25 Defendants deny plaintiffs' allegations and have asserted numerous affirmative defenses.

26 This notice is given pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and an Order of the
27 United States District Court for the Northern District of California to inform Class Members that,
28

SUMMARY NOTICE OF PARTIAL CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS

- Master File No. M-02-1486 PJH (JCS)

1 in exchange for the release of claims by Class Members, three separate proposed settlements have
2 been reached with defendants: (1) Mosel Vitelic Corp. and Mosel Vitelic, Inc. for cash payments
3 totaling Fifteen Million U.S. Dollars (\$15,000,000); (2) and Nanya Technology Corporation USA
4 for a cash payment totaling Seven Million U.S. Dollars (\$7,000,000) (collectively referred to
5 herein as the "Settling Defendants"). Each settlement also provides that a certain amount of the
6 settlement fund may be used towards payment of costs of notice to the Class and for administration
7 costs.

8 Prior settlements with defendants Infineon Technologies AG and Infineon Technologies
9 North America Corp.; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.; and Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and Hynix
10 Semiconductor America, Inc., totaling \$160,750,000, have been granted final approval by the
11 Court and are now final. Also prior settlements with Elpidia Memory Inc., Elpidia Memory USA,
12 NEC Electronics America, Inc., Winbond Electronics Corporation, Winbond Electronics
13 Corporation America and Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.,
14 through its Crucial Technology Division totaling \$143,247,000,000 have been granted final
15 approval and are now final.

16 The Class includes:

17 All individuals and entities who, during the period from April 1, 1999 to June 30, 2002,
18 purchased DRAM in the United States directly from the defendants or their subsidiaries, and who
19 did not request exclusion by October 3, 2006, settle or release their claims, and/or whose claims are
20 not otherwise precluded ("Class Members"). Excluded from the class are defendants and their
21 parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, all governmental entities, and co-conspirators.

22 A hearing will be held on _____, 2007 at _____ before the
23 Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, in Courtroom 3, on the 17th Floor of the United States District
24 Courthouse, at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, to determine whether
25 each of the proposed settlements is fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class, and whether,
26 therefore, this litigation should be dismissed with prejudice against the Settling Defendants.

27 The settlement payments will be deposited into interest-bearing accounts for the benefit of
28 the Class. The settlement fund will be distributed at a later date, and therefore no claim forms are
to be submitted at this time. Class Counsel are not requesting attorneys' fees at this time. At an

1 appropriate time, Class Counsel will request attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 25% of the
 2 total settlement fund, plus interest, plus their expenses, and payment of incentive benefits to
 3 Plaintiff Class Representatives if approved by the Court.

4 You do not need to do anything to remain in the Class, nor is there another opportunity to
 5 request exclusion from the Class. Class Members will be entitled to receive money from the
 6 settlement fund when it is ultimately distributed. At final approval, Class Counsel and Plaintiffs
 7 will ask the Court to approve a plan of allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund on a
 8 pro rata basis among Class Members based on the dollar amount each Class Member paid to
 9 Defendants for direct purchases of DRAM from the Defendants during the period April 1, 1999
 10 through June 30, 2002. If you wish to object to any of the settlements, you must file a written
 11 objection by _____, 2007.

12 A more detailed description of this litigation and the proposed settlements is contained in
 13 the Notice of Partial Class Action Settlements (the "Notice"). The Notice has been mailed to
 14 identifiable Class Members. If you are a Class Member and have not received a Notice, you may
 15 obtain a copy free of charge by writing to: *In Re DRAM Antitrust Litigation* c/o Rust Corporation,
 16 Inc., P.O. Box 24657, West Palm Beach, Florida 33416. The Notice and the settlement agreements
 17 are also posted on this website: www.dramantitrustsettlement.com.

18 Questions concerning the matters contained in this Summary Notice should be directed to
 19 the following Class Counsel:

20 GUIDO SAVERI
 21 R. ALEXANDER SAVERI
 22 SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.
 111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
 San Francisco, CA 94111

ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO
 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
 LLP
 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
 Seattle, WA 98101

23 FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH
 24 WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER,
 25 FREEMAN & HERZ
 270 Madison Avenue
 New York, NY 10016

1 **PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE OR ADDRESS INQUIRIES TO THE COURT.**

2

3 Dated: _____, 2007

4 BY ORDER OF THE DISTRICT COURT
5 United States District Court
6 for the Northern District of California
7 San Francisco, CA

8

9 ram.777